UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,607	07/11/2003	Peng T. Ong	AUS920085001US2	2901
IBM CORP. (WIP) c/o WALDER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON, CARLTON	
SUITE 100B	17330 PRESTON ROAD SUITE 100B		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75252		2136		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/617,607	ONG, PENG T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CARLTON V. JOHNSON	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	ne 2008					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9,10 and 16-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9,10,16-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · ·	<u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
a)						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	A) [] Indeed to [A]	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responding to application papers filed on **7-11-2003**.
- 2. Claims 1, 3 7, 9, 10, 16 27 are pending. Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15 have amended. Claims 2, 8, 11 15 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18 are independent.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/18/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has removed the unsupported "totality" of applications claim limitation and reinstated the previous "plurality" of applications claim limitation. The Schaeck prior art discloses a view or display consisting of a plurality of applications or multiple applications. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0044], lines 1-4: user actions, accessible by the user)

3.1 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, a separate hardware device. (see Remarks Page 11)

There is no disclosure for a separate hardware device in the specification or the original claims. See the 112 rejection.

Art Unit: 2136

3.2 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, a consolidated view. (see Remarks Page 12)

The Delany prior art discloses a consolidated view for the set of available applications. (see Delany paragraph [0113], lines 13-18, paragraph [0129], lines 16-20: LDAP, consolidated user based directory information)

3.3 Applicant argues the previous 112 rejection. (see Remarks Page 13-16)

The terms "list of keys"; "list of applications"; "serial number", "last login attempt" were indicated in Figure 7 and are addressed in the Office Action. (see Remarks Pages 13-16)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The previous 112 rejection has been withdrawn. And, a new 112 rejection has been entered.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1, 16, 17, 21 - 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2136

In amended Claims **1, 16, 17,** there is no disclosure of the term, "coupling" or "separate", or disclosure for the amended claim limitation: "coupling of a separate hardware security device", in the specification or the original claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 3 7, 9, 10, 18 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaeck et al. (US Patent No. 20030163513) in view of Cotte (US PGPUB No. 20040013132) and further in view of Delany et al. (US PGPUB No. 20020138763).

With Regards to Claims 1, 11, 15, Schaeck discloses a method, apparatus for providing a system administrator with a view of a totality of application accessible by a user, comprising:

a) receiving, in response to a coupling of a separate hardware security device to the data processing system, credential information for each application of the plurality of applications that the user uses from the separate hardware security

Application/Control Number: 10/617,607

Art Unit: 2136

Page 5

device into an authentication credential container associated with the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0022], lines 1-3: profile or credentials container, credential information: no disclosure of a separate hardware device)

- b) identifying the <u>plurality</u> of applications (see Schaeck paragraph [0037], lines 1-5: service equivalent to application; paragraph [0066], lines 5-10: aggregation of services or applications) accessible by a user by examining <u>the</u> authentication credential container <u>associated with</u> the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0066], lines 1-5, paragraph [0081], lines 1-11: examine user authentication credentials; paragraph [0022], lines 1-3: profile or credentials container) and
- d) a view of displayer to display the view of the plurality of applications accessible by the user to the administrator. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services))

Schaeck discloses wherein generating a view of the <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by the user. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0044], lines 1-4: user actions, accessible by the user) Schaeck does not specifically disclose a consolidated user directory.

However, Delany discloses:

c) a consolidated user directory that contains user authentication information across the plurality of applications. (see Delany paragraph [0113], lines 13-18,

paragraph [0129], lines 16-20: LDAP, consolidated user based directory information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schaeck to enable a consolidated directory of the plurality of the applications as taught by Delany. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Delany in order to, within a consolidated view or a single source, enable the addition and removal of directory entry attributes for an existing group. (see Delany paragraph [0014], lines 4-7: "... Over time, an administrator may discover that using a dynamic membership rule is a mistake for this group because the number of members is growing too fast. ... "; paragraph [0014], lines 10-14: "... since the group has already been created and used, prior systems do not allow for the modification of the group by removing the attribute for storing a dynamic membership rule. ... ")

Schaeck-Delany does not specifically disclose a complete listing of applications.

However, Cotte discloses a complete listing of applications for: a); b); c); (see Cotte paragraph [0116], lines 1-7: total number of application possible)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Schaeck-Delany for a complete listing of applications as taught by Cotte. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cotte in order to enable the capability to realizing advantages, such as privacy, ease of use, and/or data communications capabilities, offered by available communications

environments. (see Cotte paragraph [0022], lines 1-5: " ... The present invention may be employed to overcomes disadvantages existing in known communications environments while realizing advantages, such as privacy, ease of use, and/or data communications capabilities, offered by available communications environments....")

Page 7

With Regards to Claims 3, 13, Schaeck discloses the method, apparatus of claims 1, 11 further comprising providing an interface to assist in removing access to an application from the <u>plurality</u> of the applications by utilizing the view of the <u>plurality</u> of the applications accessible by the user. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0043], lines 9-15: delete or remove access to a service or application)

Schaeck does not specifically disclose a complete listing of applications. However,

Cotte discloses a complete listing of applications. (see Cotte paragraph [0116], lines 17: total number of application possible)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Schaeck for a complete listing of applications as taught by Cotte. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cotte in order to enable the capability to realizing advantages, such as privacy, ease of use, and/or data communications capabilities, offered by available communications environments. (see Cotte paragraph [0022], lines 1-5)

With Regards to Claim 4, Schaeck discloses the method, apparatus of claim 1, further comprising:

Page 8

wherein the user utilizing the generated view. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications), and injecting authentication information of the user account into the authentication credential container of the user. (see Schaeck paragraph [0052], lines 11-15: script program utilizing in the processing of authentication information, authentication information placed or "injected" within authentication process via script technology) Schaeck does not specifically disclose creating a user account for a new application to be accessible by the user.

However, Delany discloses:

- a) creating a user account for a new application to be accessible by the user; (see Delany paragraph [0108], lines 1-8; paragraph [0109], lines 12-16: create user accounting information) and
- b) authentication information of the user account into the authentication credential container of the user. (see Delany paragraph [0108], lines 1-8; paragraph [0109], lines 12-16: create user accounting information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schaeck such that the authentication credential container is stored at a server as taught by Delany. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Delany in order to, within a single source or consolidate view, enable the addition and removal of user accounting and authentication

attributes for an existing group using a server or a centralized source. (see Delany paragraph [0014], lines 4-7; paragraph [0014], lines 10-14)

With Regards to Claim 5, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 4 wherein the authentication credential container and a server. (see Schaeck paragraph [0066], lines 1-5, paragraph [0081], lines 1-11: examine user authentication credentials; paragraph [0051], lines 1-6; paragraph [0075], lines 1-4: authentication server system; paragraph [0022], lines 1-3: profile or credentials container) Schaeck does not specifically disclose wherein the authentication credential container stored at a server. However, Delany discloses wherein authentication credential container is stored at a server. (see Delany paragraph [0128], lines 1-3; paragraph [0129], lines 1-4: database manager, profile or authentication information under control of directory server and database server)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schaeck such that the authentication credential container is stored at a server as taught by Delany. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Delany in order to enable, within a single source or a consolidate view from a server, centralized access to user accounting and authentication attributes for an existing group. (see Delany paragraph [0014], lines 4-7; paragraph [0014], lines 10-14)

With Regards to Claim 6, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 3 wherein the removing is performed automatically. (see Schaeck paragraph [0044], lines 1-10: data-oriented or presentation interface, data-oriented interface designates an automatic

interface between client and server; paragraph [0043], lines 9-15: delete or remove access to a service or application)

With Regards to Claim 7, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 4 wherein processing user account information is performed either automatically or manually by an administrator. (see Schaeck paragraph [0044], lines 1-10: data-oriented or presentation interface, data-oriented interface designates an automatic interface) Schaeck does not specifically disclose the creation of a user account. However, Delany discloses wherein creating the user account. (see Delany paragraph [0108], lines 1-8; paragraph [0109], lines 12-16: create user accounting information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schaeck to create the user account as taught by Delany. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Delany in order to enable the utilization of multiple methods, such as a manual or an automatic method, for the update of user attributes. (see Delany paragraph [0014], lines 4-7; paragraph [0014], lines 10-14)

With Regards to Claim 9, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 4 wherein the authentication information is injected into the separate hardware security device. (see Schaeck paragraph [0052], lines 11-15: script program utilizing in the processing of authentication information, authentication information placed or "injected" within authentication process via script technology) Schaeck does not specifically disclose

creating a user account for a new application to be accessible by the user. However, Delany discloses wherein creating a user account. (see Delany paragraph [0108], lines 1-8; paragraph [0109], lines 12-16: create user accounting information; there is no disclosure of a separate hardware device)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schaeck to creating a user account as taught by Delany. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Delany in order to enable the utilization of multiple methods, such as an automatic or script technology method for the update of user attributes. (see Delany paragraph [0014], lines 4-7; paragraph [0014], lines 10-14)

With Regards to Claim 10, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising user directories for each application of the <u>plurality</u> of the applications accessible by the user. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications) Schaeck does not specifically disclose removing individual directories for each application. However, Delany disclose wherein removing individual user directories for each application. (see Delany paragraph [0108], lines 1-8; paragraph [0109], lines 12-16: delete or remove user accounting information or user directories)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified

Schaeck to enable removing individual user directories for each application as taught by

Delany. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the

teachings of Delany in order to enable, within a single source or consolidate view, enable the addition and removal of user accounting and authentication attributes for an existing group using a centralized source. (see Delany paragraph [0014], lines 4-7; paragraph [0014], lines 10-14)

With Regards to Claim 18, Schaeck discloses a method for providing a system administrator with a consolidated directory of a <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by a user, the method comprising:

- a) identifying the <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by the user by examining authentication credential container of the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services))
- b) generating a directory of the <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services)); and
- c) displaying the directory to the administrator; ((see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services)))

the directory comprising:

Art Unit: 2136

d) a name of the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0059], lines 16-24: userid (i.e. other credentials), password)

- f) a profile of the user detailing a role of the user, a name of the user, an email address of the user, a department of the user, an employee ID of the user, and any additional attributes of the user that have been specified; (see Schaeck paragraph [0108], lines 1-8; paragraph [0109], lines 12-16: process user profile information, account, role of user, administrator)
- k) a list of personal <u>applications</u> accessible by the user also specifying a number of accounts connected to each personal application; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications (personal application is still an application))

Schaeck does not specifically disclose a complete listing of applications. However, Cotte discloses a complete listing of applications for: a); b); (see Cotte paragraph [0116], lines 1-7: total number of application possible)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Schaeck for a complete listing of applications as taught by Cotte. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cotte in order to enable the capability to realizing advantages, such as privacy, ease of use, and/or data communications capabilities, offered by available communications environments. (see Cotte paragraph [0022], lines 1-5)

Schaeck discloses:

Art Unit: 2136

h) a list of certificate-enabled applications accessible by the user also specifying a user name of the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications (certificate enabled application is still an application and a service accessible by a user))

j) a list of enterprise applications accessible by the user also specifying a user name of the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications (enterprise application is still an application and a service accessible by a user))

Schaeck does not specifically disclose a list of key information and tracking a last login attempt of the user. However, Delany discloses wherein a last login attempt of the user for corresponding entries application. (see Delany paragraph [0428], lines 3-8; paragraph [0429], lines 4-7: authentication (login) attempts (successful and unsuccessful) are logged (tracked))

And, Delany discloses:

- e) a list of keys employed by the user also detailing the type and serial number of each key; (see Delany paragraph [0361], lines 1-21: public/private key and certificate usage, certificate serial number; paragraph [0374], Il 11-14: view certificate information (key information and serial number))
- g) a means of updating and resetting the profile; (see Delany paragraph [0108], lines 1-8; paragraph [0109], lines 12-16: update profile information)

 i) a means of deleting the user name of the user; (see Delany paragraph [0108], lines 1-8; paragraph [0109], lines 12-16: remove user profile (i.e. account) information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schaeck for key and certification information such as serial number, to enable a last login attempt, and the processing of user profile (i.e. user account) information as taught by Delany. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Delany in order to enable, within a single source or consolidate view, enable the addition and removal of user accounting and authentication attributes for an existing group using a centralized source. (see Delany paragraph [0014], lines 4-7; paragraph [0014], lines 10-14)

With Regards to Claim 19, Schaeck discloses the <u>method</u> of claim 18, further comprising: a specification of a password for each certificate enabled application, each enterprise application, and each personal application. (see Schaeck paragraph [0059], lines 16-24: password required for access to applications)

With Regards to Claim 20, Schaeck discloses the consolidated directory of claim 18, further comprising:

a) means for a system administrator to add one or more applications to the lists of the certificate enabled applications, the enterprise applications, or the personal applications of the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 13-15: add or

update application list) and

b) means for a system administrator to delete one or more applications from the lists of the certificate enabled applications, the enterprise applications, or the personal applications. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 13-15: delete accounts)

With Regards to Claims 21, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 1 wherein a list of information employed by the user. Schaeck does not specifically disclose a list of key information. However, Delany discloses wherein the view comprises: information of keys employed by the user, wherein each entry in the list corresponds to a different key employed by the user, and wherein each entry identifies a type of the corresponding key and a serial number of the corresponding key. (see Delany paragraph [0361], lines 1-21: public/private key and certificate usage, certificate serial number; paragraph [0374], Il 11-14: view certificate information (key information and serial number))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schaeck for key and certification information such as serial number as taught by Delany. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Delany in order to enable, within a single source or consolidate view, enable the addition and removal of user accounting and authentication attributes for an existing group using a centralized source. (see Delany paragraph [0014], lines 4-7; paragraph [0014], lines 10-14)

With Regards to Claims 22, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the view comprises: a profile of the user detailing a role of the user, a name of the user, contact information for the user, and employment information for the user. (see Schaeck paragraph [0108], lines 1-8; paragraph [0109], lines 12-16: process user profile information, account, role of user, administrator)

With Regards to Claims 23, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the view comprises: a list of certificate-enabled applications accessible by the user, wherein each entry in the list corresponds to a different certificate-enabled application, and wherein each entry identifies a user name of the user and a last login attempt of the user for the corresponding certificate-enabled application. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications (certificate enabled application is still an application and a service accessible by a user))

With Regards to Claims 24, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the view comprises: a list of enterprise applications accessible by the user, wherein each entry in the list corresponds to a different enterprise application, and wherein each entry identifies a user name of the user for the corresponding enterprise application. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications (enterprise application is still an application and a service accessible by a user)) Schaeck does not specifically disclose

tracking a last login attempt of the user. However, Delany discloses wherein a last login attempt of the user for corresponding entries application. (see Delany paragraph [0428], lines 3-8; paragraph [0429], lines 4-7: authentication (login) attempts (successful and unsuccessful) are logged (tracked))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schaeck last login attempt information as taught by Delany. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Delany in order to enable, within a single source or consolidate view, enable the addition and removal of user accounting and authentication attributes for an existing group using a centralized source. (see Delany paragraph [0014], lines 4-7; paragraph [0014], lines 10-14)

With Regards to Claims 25, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the view comprises: a list of personal applications accessible by the user, wherein each entry in the list corresponds to a different personal application, and wherein each entry identifies a number of accounts connected to the corresponding personal application.

(see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications (personal application is still an application))

With Regards to Claims 26, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 22, wherein the view comprises: user selectable graphical user interface elements for invoking a function to update the profile and for invoking a function to reset the profile. (see

Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 13-15: add or update application list; paragraph [0044], lines 1-10: data-oriented or presentation or user interface, presentation interface designates a manual interactive interface; paragraph [0066], lines 5-10: modification (add, update) of user profile information)

With Regards to Claims 27, Schaeck discloses the method of claim 23, wherein the view comprises: a user selectable graphical user interface element for invoking a function to delete a user name of the user from the list of certificate-enabled applications. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 13-15: delete (user name) accounts; paragraph [0044], lines 1-10: data-oriented or presentation or user interface, presentation interface designates a manual interactive interface; paragraph [0066], lines 5-10: deletion of user profile information)

9. Claims **16**, **17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Schaeck** in view of **Cotte**.

With Regards to Claim 16, Schaeck discloses a method, in a data processing system, for providing a system administrator with a list of a <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by a user, comprising:

a) receiving, in response to a coupling of a separate hardware security device to the data processing system, credential information for each application of the plurality of applications that the user uses from the separate hardware security

Art Unit: 2136

device into an authentication credential container associated with the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0022], lines 1-3: profile or credentials container, credential information; no disclosure of a separate hardware device)

- b) identifying the <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by the user by examining an authentication credential container <u>associated with</u> the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services)); (There is no disclosure in the specification or the original claims to display a totality of applications accessible. This is new matter and will not be addressed)
- c) generating a list of the <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services)); (There is no disclosure in the specification or the original claims to display a totality of applications accessible. This is new matter and will not be addressed) and
- d) displaying the list to the administrator. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7;
 paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services
 or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services))

Schaeck does not specifically disclose a complete listing of applications. However, Cotte discloses a complete listing of applications for: a); b); (see Cotte paragraph

Art Unit: 2136

[0116], lines 1-7: total number of application possible)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Schaeck for a complete listing of applications as taught by Cotte. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cotte in order to enable the capability to realizing advantages, such as privacy, ease of use, and/or data communications capabilities, offered by available communications environments. (see Cotte paragraph [0022], lines 1-5)

With Regards to Claim 17, Schaeck discloses a method, in a data processing system, for providing a system administrator with a list of a <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by a user together with any user names and passwords used in connection with those applications, comprising:

- a) receiving, in response to a coupling of a separate hardware security device to the data processing system, credential information for each application of the plurality of applications that the user uses from the separate hardware security device into an authentication credential container associated with the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0022], lines 1-3: profile or credentials container, credential information; no disclosure of a separate hardware device)
- b) identifying the <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by the user and any user names and passwords used in connection with the <u>plurality</u> of applications by examining an authentication credential container <u>associated with</u> the user; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8; view list of

Art Unit: 2136

multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services));

- c) generating a list of the <u>plurality</u> of applications accessible by the user together with any user names and passwords used in connection with the <u>plurality</u> of applications; (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services)); and
- d) displaying the list to the administrator. (see Schaeck paragraph [0043], lines 5-7; paragraph [0068], lines 4-8: view list of multiple or plurality of accessible services or applications; paragraph [0045], lines 7-12: role of administrator, view of application (i.e. services))

Schaeck does not specifically disclose a complete listing of applications. However, Cotte discloses a complete listing of applications for: a); b); (see Cotte paragraph [0116], lines 1-7: total number of application possible)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Schaeck for a complete listing of applications as taught by Cotte. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cotte in order to enable the capability to realizing advantages, such as privacy, ease of use, and/or data communications capabilities, offered by available communications environments. (see Cotte paragraph [0022], lines 1-5)

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlton V. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-270-1032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 - 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2136

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136 Carlton V. Johnson Examiner Art Unit 2136

CVJ September 2, 2008